

1 will revoke the license of any educator who has been convicted of any of the crimes listed in
2 ORS 342.143, or the substantial equivalent of any of those crimes if convicted in another
3 jurisdiction.

- 4 3. Wright's conviction arose from allegations that Wright had possession of multiple digital
5 photographs depicting a female student, under the age of 18 engaged in sexually explicit
6 conduct. The student was not a student of Wright's, and did not attend the school where
7 Wright taught. Investigation revealed that Wright had paid this student to send him nude,
8 digital photographs of her. During this time frame, Wright was employed by the Roseburg
9 School District. Wright was sentenced to serve seventy (70) months incarcerated, pay fines,
10 and register as a sex offender pursuant to Oregon law.

11 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

12 Wright's conviction Using a Child in a Display of Sexually Explicit Conduct, as
13 described in section two (2) above constitutes gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS
14 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (*Use*
15 *professional judgment*); and OAR 584-020-0040(1)(y) (*ORS 163.670 – Using a Child in*
16 *Display of Sexually Explicit Conduct*). This conduct also constitutes gross unfitness in
17 violation of ORS 342.175(1)(c); OAR 584-020-0040(5)(d) (*Commission of an act listed*
18 *in OAR 584-020-0040(1)*), and OAR 584-020-0040(5)(e) (*Admission of or engaging in*
19 *acts constituting criminal conduct, even in the absence of a conviction*).

20 Pursuant to ORS 342.175(3) and OAR 584-020-0040(1) the Teacher Standards
21 and Practices Commission must revoke Wright's license and/or Wright's right to apply
22 for a license based on Wright's conviction of any of the crimes listed in ORS
23 342.143(3)(a).

24 Wright's conduct underlying his indictments and conviction as described in
25 section three (3) above, constitutes gross neglect of duty in violation of ORS
26 342.175(1)(b); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(n) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-0010(5) (*Use*
27 *professional judgment*); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(k) (*knowing violation of any rule or*
28 *order of the Commission*); OAR 584-020-0040(4)(f) (*Any sexual conduct with a*
29 *student*), and OAR 584-020-0040(4)(o) as it incorporates OAR 584-020-
30 0035(3)(a) (*Maintain the dignity of the profession by respecting and obeying the law,*
31 *exemplifying personal integrity and honesty*). The Commission relies on the definitions

1 of sexual conduct in ORS 339.370(9) and OAR 584-020-0005(5).

2
3 The Commission's authority to impose discipline in this matter is based upon ORS
4 342.175.

5
6 **FINAL ORDER**

7 The Commission hereby revokes David Wesley Wright's right to apply for an
8 Oregon educator license.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED THIS 12th day of November, 2013.

10 TEACHER STANDARDS AND PRACTICES COMMISSION

11
12 

13 By: _____
14 Victoria Chamberlain, Executive Director

15
16 **NOTICE OF APPEAL OR RIGHTS**

17
18 YOU ARE ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY
19 BE OBTAINED BY FILING A PETITION FOR REVIEW WITHIN 60 DAYS FROM THE
20 SERVICE OF THIS ORDER. JUDICIAL REVIEW IS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF
21 ORS 183.482 TO THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS.